

**ISSUE NO. 1: What planning methodology should OMS request: compilation of TO plans or optimize regional assets?**

**ISSUE NO. 2: What problems is OMS trying to resolve?**

- Compliance with national RPS for MISO states.
- Preparing for an 80% reduction in carbon by 2050.
- Minimizing disruption on MISO grid from conveying large amounts of carbon-free energy to the east of MISO.
- Avoid federal pre-emption?
- Others?
- Customer cost

**ISSUE NO. 3: What energy should our regional plan transmit?**

Should the OMS regional plans be based on need or should they also incorporate legal requirements that are not dependent on need, such as renewable requirements and carbon constraints.

- Renewables:
  1. For MISO states under existing RPS.
  2. For MISO states under a national RPS.
  3. For the entire Eastern Interconnection under either existing or a national RPS.
- Carbon Constraints:
  1. Low-carbon generation sites. For example, if sequestration sites are near coal mines, should OMS's plans recognize that future coal generation may be sited near the mines and sequestration sites?
  2. Carbon-free generation from new nuclear plants. Where is new nuclear likely to be sited? Should OMS's plans recognize that future nuclear reactors may be sited near existing nuclear sites and in states with sufficient water resources to handle the thermal loading for cooling?
- Others?

**ISSUE NO. 4: What other opportunities would OMS like to capture in regional plan?**

- Lower cost of consumption;
- Facilitate exports out of MISO;
- Lower carbon emissions;
- Locations of the best wind resources? [Assumptions about best wind or optimum location];
- Location of other generation – should we locate transmission only to facilitate renewable or to optimize total system; or
- Location of electricity storage facilities.

- Others?

**ISSUE NO. 5: How should we define existing and “reasonably expected” load?**

- How should our regional plans capture demand response and smart grid initiatives?

**ISSUE NO. 6: How should we define existing and “reasonably expected” resources?**

- Manitoba Hydro - How will Manitoba Hydro’s export potential be taken into consideration for meeting future renewable and environmental policies.