

**ORGANIZATION OF MISO STATES, INC.  
BOARD MEETING MINUTES  
October 13, 2005**

**Approved 11-10-05**

Kevin Wright, President of the Organization of MISO States, Inc. (OMS), called the October 13, 2005 Meeting of the OMS Board of Directors to order via conference call at approximately 2:00 p.m. (CDT) The following commissioners or their proxies participated in the meeting:

Kevin Wright, Illinois  
Bob Pauley, proxy for David Hadley, Indiana  
John Norris, Iowa  
Lisa Pappas, proxy for Laura Chappelle, Michigan  
Burl Haar, proxy for Ken Nickolai, Minnesota  
Mike Proctor, proxy for Steve Gaw, Missouri  
Candace Beery, proxy for Greg Jergeson, Montana  
Tim Texel, proxy for Louis Lamberty, Nebraska  
Susan Wefald, North Dakota  
Judy Jones, Ohio  
Kim Pizzingrilli, Pennsylvania  
Gary Hanson, South Dakota  
Dan Ebert, Wisconsin

Manitoba absent  
Kentucky absent

State Agency Members present:

Jack Dwyer, Iowa OCA  
John Harvey, Iowa  
Nancy Campbell, Minnesota DOC  
David Woodsmall, Missouri  
Jerry Lein, North Dakota  
Kim Wissman, Ohio  
Kim Joyce, Pennsylvania  
Greg Rislov, South Dakota  
Bill Smith, OMS

Others present:

Dave Svanda  
Patrick Clarey, FERC

The directors and proxies listed above established the necessary quorum of eight board members present.

**Approval of the Minutes of the August 11 and September 8 OMS Board Meetings**

Susan Wefald moved and Dan Ebert seconded the motion to adopt the minutes of the August 11 and September 8 meetings as presented. By a unanimous voice vote of the directors present, the minutes of the August 11 and September 8, 2005 OMS Board meetings were approved.

**Review of Actions of the Executive Committee on September 22**

President Wright reviewed business items of the OMS Executive Committee at its September 22 meeting:

- An update on the progress of the OMS Nominating Committee was presented.

- There was further discussion about the OMS Strategic Plan. Dan Ebert, Wisconsin, has some plans to send to President Wright.
- Preparations of the OMS Annual Meeting were reported by Bill Smith.
- Plans to meet with FERC Commissioners and Staff on October 6 and 7 were discussed.
- List of Energy Act projects for OMS to consider was presented by Bill Smith.

**Treasurer's Report** - David Woodsmall reported for Steve Gaw. David Woodsmall, Missouri, presented the following:

**Cash on Hand** - Total Cash on Hand as of September 30, 2005 was \$327,450.29.

Upon maturity of the Wells Fargo 90-day CD, OMS funds are in four places:

- \$100,000 in a certificate of deposit in West Bank
- \$100,000 in a certificate of deposit at Bank of America
- \$50,667.06 in a business performance savings account at Wells Fargo Bank
- \$76,783.23 as of September 30, 2005 in the OMS Chase Bank One checking account

During September OMS opened a Business Performance Savings Account at Wells Fargo Bank Iowa and deposited one-half of the matured Wells Fargo Certificate of Deposit plus the interest income that had accrued on that same Certificate. The total amount on deposit in the Savings Account at September 30, 2005 was \$50,667.06. The remaining \$50,000.00 of the matured Certificate was deposited into the Chase Bank One checking account.

**Expenditures to Date** - Total disbursements for September 2005 were \$25,187.66. (Treasurer's reports follow minutes.)

## **Business**

**1. Nominating Committee Report** - Candace Beery reported for Greg Jergeson.

Candace Beery presented an interim report from the committee. Susan Wefald, a member of the committee, indicated plans were underway for another meeting soon to select a treasurer candidate from an Eastern state.

**2. MISO Advisory Committee Issues** - Dan Ebert

Dan Ebert discussed possible voting issues from the upcoming MISO AC meeting October 19. He provided a description of the voting item and his opinion as to how he thought the OMS reps should weigh in on the issues. The following briefly describes the agenda item, and Dan's voting preference:

- Item 3 H. Decision of frequency and schedule of meetings for the next calendar year.  
**OMS Reps should vote based on the merits of the discussion at the meeting.**
- Item 3 I. Proposal on Advisory Committee Voting Procedures  
**OMS should support.**
- Item 3 K. Retirement of Metrics Interpretive Guidance Task Force  
**OMS should support.**

**3. Report on MISO's Annual Stakeholder Meeting - September 14, 2005** - Kevin Wright  
President Wright reported that the attendance at the MISO's first annual stakeholder meeting held in Carmel was impressive. He thought perhaps 150 persons were in attendance. He felt the OMS presentation was well received.

#### **4. OMS Annual Meeting Plans - December 8, 2005 in Carmel - Bill Smith**

Discussion of plans for the third OMS annual meeting began with Bill Smith offering some ideas for particular topics to be presented and requested speakers. He doesn't anticipate the meeting requiring approval of substantive documents, so perhaps an additional presentation could be added. Bill indicated he would welcome thoughts or ideas for new or different topics to present - and reminded directors of the OMS Travel Policy which provides for three members per state commission/agency to attend.

#### **5. Meetings with FERC Commissioners and Staff - October 6-7 - Bill Smith**

Bill reported that he and President Wright on October 6 and 7 met with FERC Chairman Kelliher and Commissioner Kelly. Because of scheduling complications, they spoke by conference call with Commissioner Brownell a week earlier. In addition, on October 6 they had the opportunity to address about 60 FERC staff in a 90 minute training seminar. The slides used are posted on the OMS website.

Some of the highlights from this meeting:

- President Wright opened each meeting stressing our desire for a positive relationship with FERC. Each FERC commissioner expressed the same goal.
- Chairman Kelliher stated that the OMS model was the best way to coordinate regional state activity.
- Commissioner Kelly stated that she thinks OMS is invaluable and productive.
- Commissioner Kelly suggested OMS visits every six months. President Wright indicated OMS intends to keep up the dialogue, if not in visits to FERC commissioners in Washington, perhaps, by having FERC commissioners visit OMS at an annual meeting, for example.
- The commissioners were asked what they thought should be OMS priority issues in the next months. RTO cost reform/control and explanation of RTO benefits were mentioned. Both also raised the issue of incentives, which EPACT requires FERC to study.
- Chairman Kelliher asked whether MISO could move away from formula rates.
- Commissioner Kelly raised several areas for structural incentives – divestiture to independent transmission companies, regional planning, broader participation of non-jurisdictional utilities, and use of new technology.

President Wright and Bill mentioned that the Governors' protocol helps states include regional needs in their thinking, that OMS supports planning but must avoid any appearance of prejudgment, and that we support the on-site FERC staff in Carmel.

#### **6. OMS Comments on RECB Proposal - Randy Rismiller, Mike Proctor**

President Wright asked Randy Rismiller to provide a status report on RECB issues with the intent of holding a special meeting in the future for the OMS to take action on any Pricing WG recommendations.

Randy Rismiller offered this report on his work group's status on RECB issues:

On October 7, MISO filed its transmission cost allocation filing. The filing addresses transmission cost allocation for baseline reliability projects and generation interconnection-related projects. The filing contains a "placeholder" policy for so-called Regionally Beneficial Projects and MISO commits to filing a new policy for these types of projects by October, 2006.

For baseline reliability projects,

- 20% of the project costs of 345 kV or higher will be allocated system-wide and 80% will be allocated sub-regionally using a pre-specified process; and
- For facilities between 100 kV and 344 kV, 100% of the project costs will be allocated sub-regionally.

For generator-related transmission projects, the generator will provide the initial funding for the project. The generator will be refunded, in the form of credits, for 50% of the project costs. The

remaining 50% of costs will be directly assigned to the generator who will receive candidate FTRs for the transmission capability created. For the 50% of costs that are not directly assigned to the generator--if the facility is 345 kV or greater, 80% will be allocated sub-regionally and 20% will be allocated system-wide—if the facility is less than 345 kV, 100% of the project cost will be allocated sub-regionally.

It should be noted that, while the 50/50 approach filed by MISO reflects the desire of the majority of the RECB task force, it is not in accordance with the vote taken at the September 22 Advisory Committee meeting that came out 12-9 in favor of 100% refunding to the interconnecting generator. Also on this issue, MISO's October 7 Transmittal Letter seems to mis-characterize the position taken by the OMS in its February, 2004 Comments on MISO's generator interconnection filing.

MISO's filing contains an "exclude list" of projects that will not be eligible for cost sharing. The "exclude list" contains all of the projects in MISO's MTEP 05 that are listed in the "planned" category and some of the projects that are listed in the "proposed" category.

The OMS Pricing Group met by conference call on October 12 to discuss MISO's filing.

There was much discussion about the "exclude list." The group first discussed a lack of clarity between MISO's proposed tariff language that references only "planned" projects and MISO's "exclude list" that contains some projects labeled as "proposed." The group then discussed the Wisconsin proposal for an alternative "exclude list" that would use the October 7 filing date as the demarcation for whether project costs would be shared or not. While no Working Group vote was taken, the Wisconsin alternative did not seem to garner support. Some Working Group members expressed some willingness to consider an alternative that would produce a result somewhere in between MISO's filed exclude list and an exclude list based on an October 7 in-service demarcation.

The Working Group identified some specific aspects of MISO's October 7 filing that could benefit from clarification. The Working Group also had some questions about some aspects of MISO's filing, such as the rationale for a February 4, 2006 effective date, rather than something sooner. The Working Group decided to send a list of these clarification-type questions to MISO's Jeff Webb and to invite Jeff or one of his MISO colleagues to join us on a subsequent Working Group conference call to discuss these questions.

The Working Group preliminarily discussed the flavor of Comments that we might draft for the OMS Board's consideration. The Working Group discussed potential issues for inclusion in draft Comments as well as issues to be excluded. Support was expressed for the development of draft Comments covering: (1) the background of the case; (2) the compromise nature of MISO's filing as a package of issues; (3) a specific list of problem areas or issues requiring more clarification; and (4) a general expression of support, or lack of opposition, to MISO's proposal as a compromise package proposal--with the understanding that individual states may take issue with particular aspects of that package. As of October 11, FERC had not set a deadline for Comments, but we can expect the deadline to fall somewhere around the end of October.

After some discussion, there was agreement among the directors that there would be an opportunity for decision making to occur at a Special Board meeting next week. The OMS Pricing WG planned to look again at MTEP to see if it's balanced. The OMS Pricing Work Group was directed to continue examining other ways to construct an exclude list. President Wright indicated he would also work with the Pricing Work Group to ensure that there is fairness as the OMS comment process moves forward.

## 7. Plans for OMS Joint and Common Market Filing in October - Nancy Campbell

Nancy reported that the OMS Market Rules & Implementation Work Group (MRIT WG) has been following the Joint and Common Market issue. The following summary was provided by John Harvey who attended a stakeholder session in Wilmington, DE. A list of initiatives is also provided in Nancy's report as well as a list of those items not considered.

1. The last MISO-PJM Joint and Common Market Joint Stakeholder meeting was in Wilmington, DE on September 21, 2005. At that meeting, a MISO-PJM presentation was made to the stakeholders identifying a group of initiatives that are intended to result in achievement of the following objectives have been identified in a series of stakeholder sessions:
  - *Provide the highest level of inter-regional reliability.*
  - *Deliver the lowest cost energy and ancillary services to load across the combined MISO and PJM regions.*
  - *Plan, build and operate the combined MISO and PJM transmission facilities for maximum joint benefit across the region.*

Each initiative was assessed in a cost/benefit analysis and the results presented back to stakeholders for implementation decisions.

MISO and PJM used an inclusive stakeholder process in discussing the common market issue. It also appears that the process will be in keeping with the focus on cost effectiveness and cost control in the OMS comments to the MISO Board of Directors at the Annual Stakeholder meeting. The OMS Market Rules and Implementation Timelines Workgroup would like to recognize that some OMS states support the current direction of MISO in the Joint and Common Market and other OMS states feel MISO and PJM have not gone far enough or quickly enough, and believe the MISO and PJM plan does not really provide for a Joint and Common Market. Our OMS Market Rules and Implementation Timelines WG will discuss this issue further in our next workgroup meeting. The table below was excerpted from the presentation made at the September meeting in order to provide a flavor of the initiatives.

<b>Accepted for 2006</b>		
<b>Reporting FTR Market Convergence</b>	<b>Alignment of FTR Timelines and Products(Note: All MISO cost)</b>	<b>\$600,000</b>
<b>Price Rationalization and Convergence at the Border</b>	<b>PJM implementation of marginal losses (Note: All PJM costs)</b>	<b>\$600,000</b>
<b>Reduce Hurdle Rate</b>	<b>Alignment of PJM Operating Reserves and MISO Revenue Sufficiency Guarantee(Note: All MISO cost)</b>	<b>\$600,000</b>
<b>Broader Price Transparency and Common Reporting</b>	<b>Existing MISO &amp; PJM Websites Linking of sites</b>	<b>\$500,000</b>
	Common Search Capabilities Data Available at Both Sites (LMPs, Tie Flows, Loads)	<b>\$200,000</b>
	New Joint Web site to Host Joint meeting and Events Calendar, Joint Documents and Reports	<b>\$600,000</b>

<b>Increased Market Opportunities</b>	<b>Moving JOUs between markets</b>	<b>\$100,000</b>
<b>Operational Consistency</b>	<b>Common Long Term Transmission Queue</b>	<b>\$200,000</b>
	<b>Ramp Viewer (underway)</b>	<b>\$</b>
	<b>Alignment of OASIS Business Practices</b>	<b>\$500,000</b>
	<b>Common Treatment of Dynamic Schedules and Pseudo-ties</b>	<b>\$200,000</b>
<b>Emergency Energy Agreement</b>	<b>Alignment of Agreements and Practices</b>	<b>\$100,000</b>
<b>Common Deliverability Studies</b>	<b>Alignments of Agreements and Practices</b>	<b>\$120,000</b>
<b>2006 Total</b>		<b>\$4,320,000</b>
<b>REJECTED for 2006</b>		
<b>Operational Consistency</b>	<b>Single Oasis</b>	<b>\$8,000,000</b>
<b>Single Market</b>	<b>Year 1 - Rule Alignment &amp; Market Portal Design</b>	<b>\$15,000,000</b>
<b>Study Continuing for 2006</b>		
<b>Operational Consistency</b>	<b>Coordinated OASIS</b>	<b>\$1,200,000</b>

2. The Joint and Common Market Issue will be discussed at the MISO Market Subcommittee Meeting on Monday, October 17, 2005 from 10 am to 6 pm central, agenda item #5.
3. A high level presentation on Joint and Common Market will be given at the MISO Advisory Meeting on Wednesday, October 19.
4. MISO is planning to make a "Specific Detailed Plan of the Joint and Common Market" on October 31, 2005 per MISO Tariff Workgroup list of proposed filings with FERC.
5. The OMS Market Rules and Implementation Timelines Workgroup will be meeting after it receives MISO's filing with FERC on the Joint and Common Market to determine if OMS should file comments and what comments should be filed with the FERC regarding this filing.

**8. Work Plan for Long-Term Development and Governance Work Group - Burl Haar**  
Burl Haar reported that the LTD&G Work Group had its first organizational meeting October 3. There were several noteworthy accomplishments. David Sapper and Burl Haar were selected co-chairs of the work group. The work group identified direction it wishes to take in planning its work:

- Looking at the reasonableness of MISO's new products and services - comparing them to SPP, PJM and so on.
- Looking at incremental costs of projects
- Review MISO's select committee recommendations
- MISO's short-term and long-term incentive plans
- Future review of MISO's budgets

The work group's task at hand has to do with the ranking of MISO's 2006 Capital Project Proposals. David Sapper has proposed a ranking that the work group is working through the process and will have a recommendation for the board to review soon.

**9. EPACT Status - Joint Board Preparations - Bill Smith**

Bill Smith indicated he merged this list into the Administrative Update (**which follows.**) Highlights of the report include:

- Joint Board Process. The meeting is scheduled to be in Chicago sometime in late November, OMS is waiting to receive a final meeting date. The West and the South/Southwest regions are meeting November 13. President Wright indicated that he understood the Chicago meeting would be November 21. The flow of information has gone directly from FERC to the states and has by-passed the regional state committees (RSCs.)
- The Long-Term Development & Governance Working Group has gotten off the ground and could always use more members.

**Administrative Update** – Executive Director, Bill Smith

Bill Smith offered one item not included in the report distributed earlier to the OMS directors:

- Independent Power Producer (IPP) sector has been working with OMS to meet to exchange viewpoints with the goal of building a bridge between the two sectors. Bill announced there would be a meeting Wednesday, October 19 at 6:00 pm after the MISO Advisory meeting at a restaurant yet to be determined in Carmel. He encouraged participation from the OMS directors.
- The push for joint boards, President Wright explained, is coming directly from FERC and some of it is coming from the NARUC Electricity Committee of which President Wright is a member. He then offered a reminder to all the board members that each state must designate a representative to the joint board by October 14. He hoped that each state's designee might also be the OMS board member.
- Dan Ebert and David Sapper volunteered to serve on NARUC's Transmission Access and Market Rules Work Group. Chairman Ervin set up this work group as a result of the 2005 legislation. Marcia Smith and President Wright are the co-leaders of the group, John Harvey and Bill Smith are also members. The group is looking for additional Midwest representation.

**Announcements**

- The next OMS Board Meeting will be held Thursday, November 10 at 2:00 pm (CST)
- The next OMS Executive Committee Meeting will be held Thursday, October 27 at 2:00 pm (CDT)

**Adjournment**

The meeting adjourned at 3:40 pm CDT.

# *OMS*

Organization of MISO States  
Report of the Treasurer  
Steve Gaw, Missouri PSC  
to the  
Board of Directors  
October 13, 2005

Report for September 2005

**Cash on Hand**

This fiscal year OMS has received \$200,000.00 from MISO and is holding the remaining balance in the OMS checking account at Chase Bank One, in the OMS savings account at Wells Fargo Bank Iowa, and in two Certificates of Deposit. As of September 30, 2005, our balance in the Chase Bank One account was \$76,783.23. The Certificates of Deposit held at West Bank and Bank of America are valued at \$100,000.00 each.

During September OMS opened a Business Performance Savings Account at Wells Fargo Bank Iowa and deposited one-half of the matured Wells Fargo Certificate of Deposit plus the interest income that had accrued on that same Certificate. The total amount on deposit in the Savings Account at September 30, 2005 was \$50,667.06. The remaining \$50,000.00 of the matured Certificate was deposited into the Chase Bank One checking account.

Total Cash on Hand as of September 30, 2005 was \$327,450.29.

**Expenditures to Date**

Total disbursements for September 2005 were \$25,187.66.



**TREASURER'S REPORT**  
**Organization of MISO States**  
**September 30, 2005**

TOTALS

Certificates of Deposit

Beginning Balance as of 9/1/05			\$300,000.00	
9/17/05	DEP	Well Fargo Bank Iowa - Interest on Matured \$100,000 Certificate of Deposit	\$ 632.10	
9/17/05	W/D	Wells Fargo Bank Iowa - Maturity of \$100,000 Certificate of Deposit	<u>\$ (100,632.10)</u>	
		Net Activity	\$ (100,000.00)	
Certificates of Deposit Balance as of 9/30/05				\$200,000.00

Business Performance Savings Account

9/17/2005	DEP	Wells Fargo Bank Iowa - Portion of Matured \$100,000 Certificate of Deposit plus Accrued Interest not deposited into Bank One Checking Account	\$ 50,632.10	
		Interest on Savings	<u>34.96</u>	
Business Performance Savings Account Balance at 9/30/05				50,667.06

Commercial Checking with Interest

Beginning Balance as 9/1/05			\$ 51,941.85	
9/19/05	DEP	Wells Fargo Bank Iowa - Portion of Matured \$100,000 Certificate of Deposit not deposited into Wells Fargo Business Performance Savings Account	\$ 50,000.00	(1)
9/30/05	DEP	Interest on Checking	<u>29.04</u>	
Total Deposits			\$ 50,029.04	

Checks and Charges

Date	Check #	Descriptions		
	1745	Void	\$	-
	1746	Void		-
	1747	Void		-
	1748	Void		-
9/12/05	W/D	Paychex - August Payroll Processing		91.50
9/15/05	1749	Public Service Commission of Wisconsin - Travel to MISO A/C & BOD Mtgs. 8/17-18/05		646.93 *
9/15/05	1750	Minnesota Public Utilities Commission - Travel to MISO A/C Mtg. 8/16-17/05		493.70
9/15/05	1751	Chase Card Services - August Visa Charges		1,361.44 (2)
9/15/05	1752	MARC 2005 - OMS BOD Mtg. Location Expenses in Little Rock 6/21-22/05		1,380.00 *
9/15/05	1753	Infomax Office Systems - October Copier/Printer/Fax Rental		172.41
9/15/05	1754	Qwest - August Phone/Fax & DSL Charges		203.35
9/15/05	1755	InterCall - August Conference Calls		1,204.25

9/15/05	1756	100 Court Investors - October Office Rent & Parking	874.21	
9/15/05	1757	Combined Systems Technology - Purchase of 10 Dell Back-Up Tapes for Server	455.80	
9/15/105	1758	IL - Travel to MISO PJM Joint & Common Market Mtg. 8/24-25/05	296.80	*
9/15/05	1759	OH - Travel to MISO Finance Committee Mtg. 8/30-31/05	243.91	
9/15/05	1760	OH - Travel to MISO A/C & BOD Mtgs. 8/16-18/05	217.13	*
9/15/05	1761	Public Service Commission of Wisconsin - Travel to MISO Advisory Comm. Mtg. 8/17/05	608.31	*
9/19/05	1762	ED - Travel to MISO Stakeholders Mtg. 9/14-15/05	14.72	*
9/22/05	1763	ED - Travel to Meeting with FERC & DOE Staff 09/21/05	17.60	*
9/22/05	1764	Nebraska Power Review Board - Travel to MISO Annual Stakeholders Mtg. 9/14/05	234.30	
9/22/05	1765	NE - Travel to Annual Stakeholders Mtg. 9/14/05	193.70	
9/22/05	1766	IA - Travel to Annual Stakeholders Mtg. 9/13-15/05	505.01	*
9/22/05	1767	IL - Travel to Annual Stakeholders Mtg. 9/13-15/05	382.49	
9/22/05	1768	Public Service Commission of Wisconsin - Travel to MISO 2006 Capital Budget & RECB Meeting 9/8-9/05	639.12	*
9/22/05	1769	Public Service Commission of Wisconsin - Travel to MISO Annual Stakeholders Mtg. 9/13-15/05	653.15	*
9/30/05	W/D	Deferred Compensation Deposit (Inc. Employee W/H and Employer Match)	2,000.00	*
9/30/05	W/D	June Payroll (Inc. Employer & Employee taxes)	<u>12,297.83</u>	
		Total Checks and Charges		<u>25,187.66</u>
		Net Activity		<u>24,841.38</u>
CHECKING ACCOUNT BALANCE 9/30/05				<u>76,783.23</u>
CERTIFICATES OF DEPOSIT, SAVINGS AND CHECKING ACCOUNT BALANCES 9/30/05				<u><u>\$327,450.29</u></u>

- 'Notes:
- \* Indicates that check was still outstanding at the time the Bank Account was reconciled (month end).
  - (1) The investment plan provided called for \$50,000 of the \$100,000 Certificate at Wells Fargo Bank Iowa, maturing in September, to be reinvested in another Certificate at the Bank. Wells Fargo Bank Iowa offered no 60-day Certificates of Deposit. It did, however, offer a Growth Savings Account, which paid 2.44% on \$50,000 with more withdrawal flexibility than the 90-day Certificate, which paid only 2.33%. Therefore, the decision was made to deposit the \$50,000 plus the interest accrued on the matured Certificate (\$632.10) into the Growth Savings Account at Wells Fargo Bank Iowa in lieu of the 90-day Certificate.
  - (2) ED -Travel to SAWG/RAWG 8/2-3/05 - \$231.49; ED - Travel MISO A/C Mtg. 8/16-17/05 - \$445.54; Late Fee Reversal - (\$39.00); Finance Charge - \$12.61; ED - Travel to MEMA Mtg 9/13/05 and MISO Annual Stakeholders Mtg. 9/14-15/05 - \$636.80; FEDEX Charges - \$58.02; and UPS Charges - \$15.98



## Organization of MISO States

100 Court Avenue, Suite 218  
Des Moines, Iowa 50309

Phone: 515-243-0742  
Fax: 515-243-0746  
[www.misostates.org](http://www.misostates.org)

### **OMS Executive Director Report October 12, 2005**

#### **FERC Activity**

1. President Wright and I met on October 6 with Chairman Kelliher and on October 7 with Commissioner Kelly. Because of scheduling, we had an earlier conference call with Commissioner Brownell. These meetings confirmed that the FERC commissioners value the relationship with OMS.
2. Also on October 6, President Wright and I gave a 90 minute briefing to about 60 FERC staff members on the concepts and operation of OMS.

#### **EPACT Scorecard**

The following summary lists elected items. For a more complete list, see FERC's EPACT section at <http://www.ferc.gov/legal/maj-ord-reg/fed-sta/ene-pol-act.asp>

1. Mandatory electric reliability standards and enforcement  
Docket No. RM05-30-000  
Issued September 1. Comments were due October 7. OMS did not comment separately.
2. Order 888 Reform  
Docket No. RM05-25-000  
Issued September 15. Comments due November 22
3. Joint Boards on Security Constrained Economic Dispatch  
Docket No. AD05-13-000  
Issued September 30. Designations due October 14.

### **DOE Activity**

1. The DOE staff requested state input for the economic dispatch study mandated by Section 1234 of the EPACT by September 21. State responses were coordinated through the NARUC Electricity Committee.
2. The DOE staff is conducting congestion studies that will lead toward recommendations of National Interest Electricity Transmission Corridors as required by section 1221 of the EPACT.

### **OMS-MISO Activity:**

1. The Pricing Work Group has met to discuss comments on the filing of the Regional Expansion Criteria and Benefits Task Force recommendations and the recommendations of the Advisory Committee's special meeting September 22. The proposed tariff changes were filed October 7 at FERC.
2. Capacity market:
  - The Resource Adequacy Work Group met October 11 to formulate questions on capacity and reserve issues. The questions were forwarded to MISO October 12.
  - MISO made an informational filing on resource adequacy on September 27.
3. Market Rules Work Group is preparing for the Joint and Common Markets filing expected the end of October.
4. The Long-term Development and Governance Work Group held its first meeting October 3. Burl Haar (MNPUC) and David Sapper (WIPSC) were elected co-chairs.
5. The Market Monitoring and Mitigation Work Group met on September 13 with the market monitor, Dr. Patton.
6. The Nominating Committee expects to complete its work in the near future.

## **Public Relations**

### 1. Meetings and Presentations

- September 14, President Wright presented the OMS vision to the Midwest ISO Board.
- September 13, Bill Smith gave a presentation on OMS to the Mid-Continent Energy Marketers Association Fall Conference.
- October 5, Bill Smith gave a presentation on OMS and regional issues to the Wisconsin Public Utilities Institute conference.
- October 6, President Wright and Bill Smith gave a briefing to FERC Staff on OMS.
- September 29-October 7, President Wright and Bill Smith met with Chairman Kelliher, Commissioner Brownell, and Commissioner Kelly.
- October 6, John Harvey gave a presentation to the Iowa Industrial Energy Group on OMS and regional issues.

### 2. Pending speaking/meeting invitations:

- November 8, I have been asked to speak on regional issues at a luncheon of Minority Women in Energy in Washington.
- November 14, OMS has been asked to meet with Directors from eight Midwest State Energy Offices (IA, IN, IL, MN, WI, MO, OH, MI) and the USDOE Midwest Regional Office to discuss Distribution and Transmission issues in the Midwest. This conflicts with NARUC meetings.